Forbes



Robert W. Wood THE TAX LAWYER

TAXES 9/25/2015

Bernie Sanders And Republicans Both Urge Cadillac Tax Repeal

Vermont's Sen. Bernie Sanders has introduced a bill to stop the Cadillac tax. It might appear to signal an odd alliance with Republicans, many of whom also support repealing the tax. Yet how they would fill the void is quite different. Even so, the dual efforts suggest that the tax may be doomed. Of all of Obamacare's many taxes, the Cadillac tax may be the worst, a whopping 40% on top of other federal taxes.

The excise tax is increasingly under fire, particularly now that it is clear it will hit so many. An analysis from the Kaiser Family Foundation found that the tax will hit 26% of employers in 2018. Over the next decade, it jumps to 42%. Starting in 2018, the tax kicks in when the total spending on a health plan, including employer and employee premium contributions, exceeds \$10,200 for individuals and \$27,500 for a family. Even contributions made to a health savings account or a flexible spending account are counted, so Cadillacs seem to be everywhere.



Democratic presidential candidate Sen. Bernie Sanders, I-Vt, speaks during the state's annual Democratic convention on Sept. 19, 2015, in Manchester, N.H. (AP Photo/Jim Cole)

Of course, the tax was supposed to pay for much of Obamacare, generating \$87 billion over 10 years. Senator Sanders wants to use a surtax on the wealthiest to make up the shortfall. But that will be hard to sell despite opposition to the Cadillac tax. There is no question the law is prompting employers to pare down benefits. The Alliance to Fight the 40, a group that includes Cigna, Blue Cross, and Blue Shield, has said the tax hurts worker retention and could cause some to drop insurance coverage altogether.

Some observers say it was sleight of hand to pass a law in 2010 adding a 40% tax in 2018. It was probably a bad idea even before the news broke that a once famous and now nearly infamous adviser had a big hand in the slick packaging of the law. That delayed effective date de-emphasized the provision. As the IRS gets ready for 2018, it released guidance setting out approaches to the excise tax. Like all of Obamacare, the Cadillac tax is enormously complex. It targets overly generous employer-provided health care plans. That doesn't just mean for executives.

In fact, it mostly appears to hit union plans. Unions that have negotiated for generous health benefits at the expense of higher wagers may now wish they hadn't. The law puts forceful pressure on employers to offer less-generous health insurance plans. In evaluating the dollar thresholds, both employer and worker contributions are included. The tax is punitive, taxing every dollar above the threshold at a 40% rate. What's more, the tax is not deductible by the employer.

The tax is projected to collect \$80 billion between 2018 and 2023. However, many excise tax figures turn out not to be incorrect. Indeed, excise taxes are often enacted to discourage particular behavior. Here, the Cadillac tax is likely to be cutting of health insurance, something that is already occurring.

Why would employers offer generous health insurance that triggers a 40% excise tax that they must pay and cannot deduct? American taxpayers could end up carrying the burden of the tax. The result is likely to higher costs for employees, higher deductibles, and other add-ons that will harm employees. It seems hard to square with the goals of the massive health care law.

The first IRS notice set out approaches to the excise tax. It considered how the cost of coverage would be determined. Now, the IRS is looking at who is liable. The IRS says the entity providing health coverage is liable for the Cadillac tax. That would be the employer for health savings account and Archer medical savings accounts. But for health coverage provided for an

insured group health plan, the coverage provider is the health insurance issuer.

When there's any doubt, the provider is defined as "the person that administers the plan benefits." Now, the IRS wants comments on how to determine who actually administers the benefits. It could be the person performing the day-to-day functions of the plan, a third-party administrator, or someone identified in the plan document.

For alerts to future tax articles, follow me on Forbes. You can reach me at <u>Wood@WoodLLP.com</u>. This discussion is not intended as legal advice, and cannot be relied upon for any purpose without the services of a qualified professional.